

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,699		09/18/2003	John Leary	ADAMSRI.031A .	4708
20995	7590	08/11/2006		EXAM	INER
		ENS OLSON & BI	PASCHALL, MARK H		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE,	CA 9261	4		3742	
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ſ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,699	LEARY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H. Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the provision of the mail of the provision of the	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute. cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	April 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accomplicate any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the second secon	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyalection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	, -	OTO 445				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,2,4,6-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 350453 in view of Leuschner et al and Martin (4,300,442) or Chu (6,539,173). Ep teaches a basin heater but does not teach the heater along the heating tube not coil around it nor does Ep teach use of a heating volume of less than 14 ounces. Note that the reference, "for use with a wash basin on an aircraft", in the apparatus claims, merely comprises intended use. The patent to Leuschner et al is applied for teaching that a flow thru heater can comprise a heating element 4 along the longitudinal extent of the fluid tube and use of the same leads to effective heating of the fluid. In view of this

Art Unit: 3742

teaching it would have been obvious to modify the EP system to use a longitudinally extending heating element in lieu of the coiled scheme, to more effectively heat the fluid in the tube 3. claims 1 and 14 set forth that the heated volume is less than 14 ounces. While one of ordinary skill in the art find such choice well within the level of skill in the art, the patents to Martin and Chu have been applied for clearly teaching use of flow thru heaters to heat only a single cup at a time, whatever is loaded into the heater tube reservoir, and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify EP further to limit the heating volume to 14 ounce if desired, such choice designated by undisclosed parameters such as the usage of the heated fluid and structural or size limitations of the device. Note that criticality of the volume is absent since the claimed language does not specify the flow rate or the flow of water at all. Note that Chu does teach heating in an aircraft environment as set forth in claim 14.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4,6-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In view of Applicant's amendments and remarks, the rejection under 35 USC (112) has bee removed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 4

Mp